1 2	JAMES R. GREINER, ESQ. CALIFORNIA STATE BAR NUMBER 123357 LAW OFFICES OF JAMES R. GREINER 555 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SUITE 290		
3	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95825 TELEPHONE: (916) 649-2006 FAX: (916) 920-7951		
5	ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT JAVIER JIMENEZ GOMEZ		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9 10 11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR.NO.S-03-082-EJG PLAINTIFF, STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS		
12 13	v. CONFERENCE TO JULY 13, 2007  JAIVER JIMENEZ GOMEZ, et al.,		
14 15	DEFENDANTS.		
16 17	Plaintiff, the United States of America, by its counsel, Assistant United States Attorney, Ms. Mary Grad, and defendant, JAIVER JIMENEZ GOMEZ,		
18			
19	represented by Mr. James R. Greiner, hereby stipulate and agree that the status		
20	Senior District Court Judge, Edward J. Garcia, shall be continued to Friday, July 13, 2007, at 10:00 a.m  Colleen Lydon, the Court's deputy clerk, was contacted by counsel to check the proposed date and the date of Friday, July 13, 2007, was open and available for the		
21			
22			
23 24			
25	Court for a further status hearing date. No trial date has been set. The defendant is in		
26	custody.		
27			

## SPEEDY TRIAL ACT-EXCLUSION OF TIME

The parties agree and stipulate the Court can find an exclusion of time from the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18 U.S.C section 3161, et seq., based on the needs of counsel to prepare pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. section 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) and Local Code T-4; the parties stipulate and agree that the interests of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A); the parties agree and stipulate that the case, based upon the amount of discovery including but not limited to the wire taps and volume of tape recordings, is complex within the meaning of Title 18 U.S.C. section 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), for the following factual reasons:

1-The government has provided to defense counsel during the week of October 2, 2006, 647 pages of hard discovery and 2 CD dics which are the wire tap interceptions which from the brief over view by defense counsel has easily over 1,000 telephone conversations on each of the CD's and each telephone conversation recording is of varying time durations. The hard copy discovery needs to be reviewed and reviewed with the client and each of the CD's that appears to have easily over 1,000 telephone calls on each CD needs to be reviewed and reviewed with the client.

The continue review of this massive amount of documentation is continuing and the additional time is needed to continue to review this material and to review this material with the client. In addition, the additional time is needed by the defense to get a general broad handle on the potential issues in this case so that a plan of investigation can be reasonably formulated. The review of this material is on-going and time consuming. It is taking counsel longer than first anticipated to review all of the thousands of telephone calls on the CD. Defense counsel is working diligently in the reviewing of this material. The defendant is in custody in the Sacramento County Jail.

2-Defense counsel, James R. Greiner, has concluded the multi-defendant jury

## 

1	trial in Sacramento Superior Court, Department 13, People v. Milward, et al, Case			
2	number 02F05876. This case concluded on Monday June 4, 2007. The case began on			
3	Monday, April 23, 2007.			
4	In addition, the government has just last week made a written offer to resolve			
5	this case. This written offer needs to be reviewed and discussed with the client.			
6	Finally, James R. Greiner has been authorized by counsel for the government			
7	via telephone conference to sign this stipulation on her behalf.			
8 9		Respectfully submitted, McGREGOR W. SCOTT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY		
10	DATED: 6-11-07	/s/ MARY GRAD authorized by telephone		
11	DATED. 0-11-0/	MARY GRAD ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY		
12		ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF		
13	DATED: 6-11-07	/s/ JAMES R. GREINER		
14	DITIED: 0-11-07	JAMES R. GREINER ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT		
15		JAVIER JIMENEZ GOMEZ		
16				
17	ORDER			
18				
9	FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
20				
21	DATED: JUNE12, 2007.			
22				
23				
24	<u>/s/ E</u>	dward J. Garcia		
25	EDWARD J. GARCIA UNITED STATES SENIOR DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
26				
27				
28		3		